

# Étale morphisms

Ole Ossen, 1st November 2018

We mostly follow Bhatt's notes [1].

**Definition.** A local homomorphism of local rings  $f : (B, \mathfrak{n}) \rightarrow (A, \mathfrak{m})$  is called **unramified** if  $f(\mathfrak{n})B = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$  is a finite separable extension of  $\kappa(\mathfrak{n})$ .

**Definition.** A morphism of schemes  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  is called **unramified** at  $x \in X$  if

- (i) the local homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is unramified,
- (ii)  $\pi$  is locally of finite type at  $x$ .

If  $\pi$  is unramified at all  $x \in X$ , it is called **unramified**.

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $A$  is a finitely generated algebra over a field  $k$  with  $\Omega_{A/k} = 0$ . Then  $A$  is a finite direct sum of finite separable field extensions of  $k$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* First assume  $k = \bar{k}$ . Then for any prime  $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$  and any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}}^2 \cong k \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}} \Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}/k} = 0.$$

By Nakayama's lemma, it follows that  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ . Varying  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we deduce that  $A$  is a reduced artinian  $k$ -algebra, hence a finite direct sum of copies of  $k$ .

Deduce the case of arbitrary  $k$  using a base change

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_k \bar{k} & \longleftarrow & A \\ \uparrow & \square & \uparrow \\ \bar{k} & \longleftarrow & k \end{array}$$

■

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally of finite type. Then for any  $x \in X$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\pi$  is unramified at  $x$ .
- (ii)  $\Omega_{X/Y,x} = 0$ .
- (iii) There exists an open  $U \ni x$  and a locally closed embedding  $j : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_Y^n$  defined by an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_Y^n/Y,x}$  is generated by  $dg$  for sections  $g$  of  $\mathcal{I}$ .
- (iv) There exists an open  $U \ni x$  such that  $\text{diag}_{X/Y}|_U$  is an open embedding.

*Sketch of proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Consider a homomorphism  $B \rightarrow A$  and primes  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p} \cap B$ . If  $B_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an unramified homomorphism of local rings, we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) & \longleftarrow & A_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \uparrow & \square & \uparrow \\ \kappa(\mathfrak{q}) & \longleftarrow & B_{\mathfrak{q}}. \end{array}$$

It follows that

$$\Omega_{A/B, \mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = \Omega_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}/B_{\mathfrak{q}}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = \Omega_{\kappa(\mathfrak{p})/\kappa(\mathfrak{q})} = 0.$$

(ii)  $\implies$  (i). Use Lemma 1.

(ii)  $\iff$  (iii). Use the conormal exact sequence

$$j^*(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2) \rightarrow j^*\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^n_Y/Y} \rightarrow \Omega_{U/Y} \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii)  $\iff$  (iv). We show that for any affine opens  $\text{Spec } B \subset Y$  and  $\text{Spec } A \subset \pi^{-1}(\text{Spec } B)$ , the closed embedding  $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A \otimes_B A$  is actually an open embedding if and only if  $\Omega_{A/B} = 0$ . To this end, apply the following lemma to the ideal  $\ker(A \otimes_B A \rightarrow A)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $R$  is a ring and  $I \subset R$  is a finitely generated ideal. If  $I^2 = I$ , then  $V(I) = D(e)$  for an idempotent element  $e \in R$ .* ■

**Proposition 4.** *Unramified morphisms are stable under base change and composition. A morphism that is locally of finite type is unramified if and only if all its fibers are unramified.*

*Sketch of proof.* Use Theorem 2.(ii). ■

**Proposition 5.** (i) *If for morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  the composition  $gf$  is unramified, then so is  $f$ .*

(ii) *Every monomorphism locally of finite type is unramified.*

*Sketch of proof.* (i) Use Theorem 2.(ii).

(ii) Use Theorem 2.(iv). ■

**Theorem 6.** *Suppose  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  is locally of finite type. Then  $\pi$  is unramified if and only if for every affine morphism  $Y \rightarrow S$  and every closed subscheme  $Y_0 \subset Y$  defined by an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  with  $\mathcal{I}^2 = 0$ , the map*

$$\text{Mor}_S(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_S(Y_0, X)$$

*is injective.*

*Sketch of proof.* Reduce to the affine case as in the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & A & \\
 \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow \\
 R & \longrightarrow B & \twoheadrightarrow B/I
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & X & \\
 \swarrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow \\
 S & \longleftarrow Y & \longrightarrow Y_0
 \end{array}$$

Fix a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B/I$ . The trick is to notice that *differences* of factorizations  $A \rightarrow B$  correspond to derivations  $A \rightarrow I$ .

For the backward implication, consider  $B := (A \otimes_R A)/J^2$ , where  $J = \ker(A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A)$ , as well as the ideal  $I := J/J^2$ . ■

**Definition.** A morphism of schemes  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  is called **étale** at  $x \in X$  if  $\pi$  is unramified and flat at  $x$ .

It is called **étale** if it is étale at all points  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 7.** Consider morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ . If  $g$  is unramified and  $gf$  is étale, then  $f$  is étale.

*Sketch of proof.* Use Proposition 5 and the fiberwise criterion for flatness, Theorem 17 of Sebastian's talk. ■

**Theorem 8.** A morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  is étale if and only if the following holds:

- (i) There exists an open  $x \in U$  and a locally closed embedding  $j : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_Y^n$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{I}$  is the corresponding ideal sheaf, then there exist sections  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  such that the  $dg_1, \dots, dg_n$  form a basis for  $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_Y^n/Y, x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_Y^n, x}} \kappa(x)$ .

*Sketch of proof.* (ii)  $\implies$  (i). Unramifiedness follows from Theorem 2. Flatness uses the theory of Cohen-Macaulay rings. See for example the exposition in [3, Section 25.2.1].

(i)  $\implies$  (ii). See for example [2, Tag 00UE]. ■

We record some more properties of étale morphisms that follow quickly from the properties of unramified and flat morphisms:

**Proposition 9.** *Étale morphisms are open.*

*Sketch of proof.* In fact, flat morphisms locally of finite type are open (Theorem 7 of Sebastian's talk). ■

**Proposition 10.** *Étale morphisms are stable under base change and composition.*

**Proposition 11.** *Étale morphisms are quasi-finite.*

**Proposition 12.** *A flat morphism locally of finite type is étale if and only if it is unramified.*

Finally, we present an analog of Theorem 6 for étale morphisms:

**Theorem 13.** Suppose  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  is locally of finite type and separated. Then  $\pi$  is étale if and only if for every affine morphism  $Y \rightarrow S$  and every closed subscheme  $Y_0 \subset Y$  defined by an ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}$  with  $\mathcal{I}^2 = 0$ , the map

$$\mathrm{Mor}_S(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mor}_S(Y_0, X)$$

is bijective.

*Sketch of proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Reduce to the case  $Y = S$  via base change. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the structure morphism. Then the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{s} & X \\ s \downarrow & \square & \downarrow (s\varphi, id) \\ X & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{diag}_{X/Y}} & X \times_Y X \end{array}$$

shows that every section  $s : Y \rightarrow X$  is an isomorphism onto a connected component of  $X$ . Now consider a morphism  $t \in \mathrm{Mor}_Y(Y_0, X)$ . Since the underlying sets of  $Y_0$  and  $Y$  are the same, there is a connected component  $X_i$  of  $Y$  such that  $X_i \rightarrow Y$  is a universal homeomorphism. Also,  $X_i \rightarrow Y$  is étale. Now the faithfully flat base change

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_i \times_Y X_i & \longrightarrow & X_i \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ X_i & \longrightarrow & Y. \end{array}$$

shows that  $X_i \rightarrow Y$  is in fact an isomorphism. The inverse is our desired extension of  $t$  to  $Y$ . (ii)  $\implies$  (i). Assume  $S = \mathrm{Spec} R$ ,  $X = \mathrm{Spec} R[\underline{X}]/I$ . Using the hypothesis, find a splitting of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow R[\underline{X}]/I^2 \rightarrow R[\underline{X}]/I \rightarrow 0.$$

The resulting map  $R[\underline{X}]/I^2 \rightarrow I/I^2$  is a derivation, so induces a map  $\Omega_{R[\underline{X}]/R} \otimes_{R[\underline{X}]} R[\underline{X}]/I \rightarrow I/I^2$  that is inverse to  $I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R[\underline{X}]/R} \otimes_{R[\underline{X}]} R[\underline{X}]/I$ . ■

## References

- [1] Bhatt, B., *The étale topology*. <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~bhattb/math/etalestcksproj.pdf>, 2018.
- [2] Stacks Project authors, *The Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [3] Vakil, R., *The Rising Sea: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry*. Preprint, 2017.